REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF TM 5257RPL³/LOG NO. 01-09-019/SUNSET VISTAS MAJOR SUBDIVISION

August 10, 2006

			E – Does the proposed project conform to	the	
Habitat Loss	Permit/Coastal	Sage Scrub	Ordinance findings?		
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠		
boundaries of of any off-site Permit/Coasta	the Multiple S improvements al Sage Scrub	pecies Conse do not conta Ordinance. T	nprovements are located outside of the ervation Program, the project site and locatin habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Lostedings is not required.		
			ect conform to the Multiple Species igation Ordinance?		
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑		
Discussion:					
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.					
	WATER ORDII o County Grou		es the project comply with the requiremen nance?	ts of	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠		
Discussion:					

The project will obtain its water supply from the Ramona Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

TM 5257RPL³ - 2 - August 10, 2006

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located adjacent to any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it adjacent to a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than 25 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined by a Biological Resources Report dated November 1, 2005 and prepared by Vincent Scheidt. However, project mitigation will provide an equal or greater benefit to affected species. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Donna Beddow, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE

Discussion:

DPW staff has reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan, Preliminary Grading Plan, and Preliminary Drainage Study prepared by P & D Consultants. Previous comments have been addressed. The documents are substantially complete and comply with the County of San Diego Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) and WPO requirements for a Stormwater Management Plan.

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

Discussion:

Even though the proposal could expose people to potentially significant noise levels (i.e., in excess of the County General Plan or Noise Ordinance or Ramona Community Plan), noise mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the noise impacts to applicable limits: Please refer to Mitigated Negative Declaration for mitigation measures.

ND08-06\0109019-ORDCHKLST;jcr